

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

					×	
SERIAL NUMBER	TILING DATE	į	FIRST NAMED APPLICAL	VŢ.		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/591,	447 04/18	3/96	QUENTIN-MILLET	,	М	XI/P02956US

HM11/0817 000881

LARSON AND TAYLOR 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET SUITE 900 ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

EXAN	EXAMINER			
PÁK, M			•	: .
ART UNIT	PAPE	NUM	BER	
1646		23	- 1	
DATE MAILED:	08/17/98			

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	ADVISORY ACTION
₩тн	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) 🔀	is extended to run
ь) 🗀	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
☐ Ap	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap to	olicant's response to the final rejection, filed 8-3-58 (Pura No. 27) has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed olace the application in condition for allowance:
1. 🔀	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
,-	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d. M They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
2.	NOTE: 16. THE TE IN NEWLY REGIOED "T DESTITY REGIONALD THE COSTATE A NEW SEARCH AND NEW PRIMARY OF REFERENCE STUSE 112 TO 2. THE NEWLY ADVENCE PHROSE" IN NEW PROPOSED OF THE PROPERTY OF WORLD PEUP 118 FOR THE CLOSUSE RETURN AND NEW PEUP 118 FOR THE FUE THER COSTAINED REGION NOW PEUP 118 FOR THE THERE THE THE THERE OF NOW ONLY 25 WILL 112 TO SEA FOR THE
з. 💢	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered 💢 will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
	Claims allowed:
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): UNDER 35 UGC 112 pp 1 - fox Depart for
4. 🏹	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 7#6- ### ### ### ### ### ###############
5. 🔲	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The	proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
Oth	LILA FEISEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINET.

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)